# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT <br> WESTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA LAKE CHARLES DIVISION 

## FIRST ASSEMBLY OF GOD CHURCH CASE NO. 2:21-CV-00378 INC OF LEESVILLE L <br> VERSUS <br> JUDGE JAMES D. CAIN, JR. <br> CHURCH MUTUAL INSURANCE CO S MAGISTRATE JUDGE KAY I

## VERDICT FORM

1. Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant, Church Mutual Insurance Company, SI owes plaintiff First Assembly of God Church Inc. of Leeville additional payments under the policy for property damage due to Hurricanes Laura?


NO $\qquad$
If your answer is YES, go to Question 2. If NO, sign the form and return it to the Court Security Officer.
2. What additional amount do you find by a preponderance of the evidence, if any, does Church Mutual Insurance Company owe First Assembly of God Church, Inc. of Leesville, for property damage caused by Hurricane Laura?
$\$ 4,791,911.28$
3. Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence, if any of the Church Mutual Insurance Company's payments to First Assembly of God Church of Leesville for property damages caused by Hurricane Laura were made beyond thirty days of Church Mutual receiving satisfactory proof of loss?

YES


$$
\mathrm{NO}_{2}
$$

$\qquad$
If you answered YES, go to Question 4: if your answer is NO, sign and date the Verdict Form and return it to the Court Security Officer.
4. Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence, if Church Mutual Insurance Company's failure to pay within 30 days was arbitrary, capricious, or without probable cause?

YES $\qquad$ NO $\qquad$
If your answer is YES, go to Question No. 5; If your answer is NO, sign and date the Verdict Form and return it to the Court Security Officer.
5. a. Which of the following payments do you find by a preponderance of the evidence were made by Church Mutual Insurance Company after receiving satisfactory proof of loss, and that such failure was arbitrary, capricious, or without probable cause? If untimely and arbitrary, capricious, or without probable cause, answer YES; if timely, answer NO.

b. If you determine an amount was owed in Question No. 2, and if you find that the payment was timely, answer NO; If you find the payment in Question No. 2 was untimely and arbitrary, capricious, or without probable cause, answer YES.

YES: $\qquad$ NO: $\qquad$
Jury Foreperson: Please sign and date this Verdict Form and return it to the Court Security Officer.

## REDACTED

Jury Foreperson, signature


## RED $\mathcal{A C T E D}$

Print Name
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