

**FILED**  
U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT ARKANSAS

MAY 29 2012

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS  
DIVISION

JAMES W. MCCORMACK, CLERK  
By: *[Signature]* DEP. CLERK

ROSEMARIE CLAMPITT

v.

NO. 4-12-CV-0323 JLH

PROPERTY & CASUALTY INS.  
COMPANY OF HARTFORD  
AND DOES 1 THRU 10

This case assigned to District Judge Holmes  
and to Magistrate Judge Deere

COMPLAINT

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

COMES NOW plaintiff, Rosemarie Clampitt, In Pro Se, and for her cause of action against Defendants, PROPERTY & CASUALTY INS. OF HARTFORD (hereinafter "Hartford") and DOES 1 THRU 10, states as follows:

1. Plaintiff is an adult resident citizen of Garland County, Arkansas, residing at Hot Springs, Arkansas.

2. Defendant Hartford is a foreign corporation doing business in the state of Arkansas in bad faith with status Revoked in the State of Arkansas by the Arkansas Secretary of State. Its registered agent for service of process is the Commissioner of Insurance for the State of Indiana

3. Defendants Does will be named as information is discovered.

4. Defendant Hartford is a corporation doing business in Arkansas, in bad faith, and is not authorized to do business in Arkansas.

5. On or about May 27, 2009, Plaintiff applied for a Homeowner's Policy on the

telephone from AARP and was transferred to a Hartford agent who wrote the policy and erroneously wrote coverage for 1600 square feet when Plaintiff had expected the coverage to be for 3400 square feet, which was Plaintiff's lakefront home in Hot Springs, Arkansas on Lake Hamilton.

6. Plaintiff was not aware of the error until after Plaintiff's home burned to the ground on June 6, 2009.

7 The Hartford adjuster told Plaintiff that her home structure and contents were based on the 1600 square foot figure.

8. Plaintiff asked for a copy of the policy and finally received the complete 50 page policy more than a year after constant requests verbally and in writing.

9. Plaintiff had never been previously sent a copy of the entire policy, which required certain valuables to be listed separately, and therefore, they were not, at a tremendous loss to Plaintiff.

10. Defendant Hartford and Does 1 thru 10 have not been acting in good faith and fair dealing with this total loss.

11. This action is of a civil nature and the amount in controversy, without interest and costs, exceeds the sum or value specified by 28 U.S.C.A. §1332. Every issue of law and fact is wholly between citizens of different states.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands:

1. Restitution from bad faith.
2. That plaintiff recover from defendant the costs of this action and reasonable attorneys fees, if appropriate; and
3. That plaintiff has such other and further relief as may be proper.

DATED: May 29, 2012

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Rosemarie Clampitt".

Rosemarie Clampitt  
Plaintiff, Pro Se

Rosemarie Clampitt  
P.O. Box 21414  
Hot Springs, AR 71903  
(501) 655-9750